



ANDREW L. ZIVITZ

PARTNER

D 610.822.2229

F 610.667.7056

azivitz@ktmc.com

FOCUS AREAS

Securities Fraud

EDUCATION

University of Michigan
B.A., with distinction 1992

Duke University School of Law
J.D. 1995

ADMISSIONS

Pennsylvania

New Jersey

United States Supreme Court

USDC, Eastern District of Pennsylvania

USDC, District of New Jersey

USCA, Second Circuit

USCA, Sixth Circuit

USCA, Seventh Circuit

USCA, Ninth Circuit

USCA, Tenth Circuit

USCA, Eleventh Circuit

Drawing on more than three decades of litigation experience and two decades with Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check, Andrew L. Zivitz helps manage the firm's operations, including its nationally recognized Securities Department. In this role, Andy works closely with colleagues to guide the firm's direction and support the continued success of its talented securities litigation team.

Before assuming his management responsibilities, Andy built a distinguished career as a litigator, achieving extraordinary results in securities fraud cases that have led to recoveries exceeding \$1 billion for clients and class members. He has represented dozens of major institutional investors in securities class actions and private litigation and is skilled in every stage of complex litigation — from developing and implementing strategies, to conducting merits and expert discovery, to negotiating successful resolutions.

Andy has served as lead or co-lead counsel in many of the largest securities class actions in the United States, including cases against Bank of America, Celgene, Goldman Sachs, Hewlett-Packard, Nvidia, JPMorgan, Pfizer, Tenet Healthcare, and Walgreens. His extensive courtroom experience includes serving as one of the lead plaintiffs' attorneys in the only securities fraud class action arising from the financial crisis to be tried to a jury verdict, handling a Daubert trial in the Southern District of New York, and arguing dispositive motions before federal district and appellate courts nationwide.

Before joining Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check, Andy practiced at

the international law firm Drinker Biddle & Reath, where he represented defendants in large, complex litigation — experience that provides him with a unique and valuable perspective when prosecuting complex plaintiffs' cases.

Current Cases

- Boeing Company
This securities fraud class action arises out of Boeing's alleged misstatements and concealment of the significant safety issues with its 737 MAX airliner, which caused two horrific plane crashes. In 2011, under pressure after its main competitor developed a fuel-efficient jet, Boeing announced its own fuel-efficient jet, the 737 MAX. In its rush to get the MAX to market, Boeing deliberately concealed safety risks with its updated airliner from regulators. On October 29, 2018, the 737 MAX being flown by Lion Air malfunctioned and crashed, killing 189 people. While Boeing repeatedly assured the public that the 737 MAX was safe to fly, internally, the Company was quietly overhauling the airliner's systems in an attempt to reduce the risk of another fatal malfunction. Despite Boeing's reassurances to the public, on March 10, 2019 another 737 MAX, this time operated by Ethiopian Airlines, experienced malfunctions before crashing and killing 157 people. Even as regulators and Congress investigated the crashes, throughout the Class Period, Boeing continued to convey to the public that the 737 MAX would return to operation while covering up the full extent of the airliner's safety issues. In December 2019, Boeing finally announced it would suspend production of the 737 MAX, causing the dramatic decline of Boeing's stock price and significant losses and damages to shareholders. Since the 737 MAX catastrophe, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission has initiated a civil fraud investigation and the U.S. Department of Justice has initiated a criminal investigation into Boeing's fraudulent conduct. In February 2020, a Consolidated Class Action Complaint was filed on behalf of a putative class of investors. The complaint alleges Boeing and its former executives—including former President, CEO, and Chairman of the Board Dennis Muilenburg and CFO Gregory Smith—violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act by making false and misleading statements regarding the fatal safety issues with its 737 MAX airliner. The complaint additionally alleges violations of Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act against Dennis Muilenburg and Gregory Smith as controlling persons liable for the false and misleading statements made by Boeing. On August 23, 2022, the Court issued an Opinion and Order denying and granting in part the Defendants' motion to dismiss, finding Plaintiffs had sufficiently pled claims against Defendants Boeing and Mueilenburg. During fact discovery, Plaintiffs filed an amended pleading, which Defendants moved

to dismiss. On September 30, 2024, the Court denied the vast majority of Defendants' motion to dismiss. Fact discovery and class certification briefing is completed. The case is currently in expert discovery.

[Read Consolidated Class Action Complaint Here](#)
[Read Opinion and Order Denying and Granting in Part Motion to Dismiss Here](#)

- Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.

This securities fraud class action case arises out of Goldman Sachs' role in the 1Malaysia Development Berhad ("1MDB") money laundering scandal, one of the largest financial frauds in recent memory.

In 2012 and 2013, Goldman served as the underwriter for 1MDB, the Malaysia state investment fund masterminded by financier Jho Low, in connection with three state-guaranteed bond offerings that raised over \$6.5 billion. Goldman netted \$600 million in fees for the three bond offerings—over 100 times the customary fee for comparable deals.

In concert with Goldman, Low and other conspirators including government officials from Malaysia, Saudi Arabia, and the United Arab Emirates ran an expansive bribery ring, siphoning \$4.5 billion from the bond deals that Goldman peddled as investments for Malaysian state energy projects. In actuality, the deals were shell transactions used to facilitate the historic money laundering scheme. Nearly \$700 million of the diverted funds ended up in the private bank account of Najib Razak, Malaysia's now-disgraced prime minister who was convicted for abuse of power in 2020. Other funds were funneled to Low and his associates and were used to buy luxury real estate in New York and Paris, super yachts, and even help finance the 2013 film "The Wolf of Wall Street."

AP7 filed a 200-page complaint in October 2019 on behalf of a putative class of investors alleging that Goldman and its former executives, including former CEO Lloyd Blankfein and former President Gary Cohn, violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act by making false and misleading statements about Goldman's role in the 1MDB fraud. As alleged, when media reports began to surface about the collapse of 1MDB, Goldman denied any involvement in the criminal scheme. Simultaneously, Goldman misrepresented its risk controls and continued to falsely tout the robustness of its compliance measures. Following a series of revelations about investigations into allegations of money laundering and corruption at 1MDB, Goldman's stock price fell precipitously, causing significant losses and damages to the Company's investors.

In October 2020, the U.S. Department of Justice announced that Goldman's Malaysia subsidiary had pled guilty to violating the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA") which criminalizes the

payment of bribes to foreign officials, and that Goldman had agreed to pay \$2.9 billion pursuant to a deferred prosecution agreement. This amount includes the largest ever penalty under the FCPA.

On June 28, 2021, The Honorable Vernon S. Broderick of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York sustained Plaintiff's complaint in a 44-page published opinion. On July 31, 2023, the Court granted Plaintiff's motion to amend the complaint to conform the pleadings to the evidence adduced during discovery, which is now complete.

Plaintiff first moved for class certification in November 2021. While that motion was pending, the Court granted Plaintiff's motion to amend the complaint and subsequently ordered that Plaintiff's motion for class certification be newly briefed in light of the amended pleading. On September 29, 2023, Plaintiff renewed its motion for class certification. On September 4, 2025, U.S. District Judge Vernon S. Broderick of the Southern District of New York issued a 35-page opinion adopting the 2024 Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Katharine H. Parker recommending certification of the shareholder class in *Sjunde AP-Fonden v. The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.*, No. 18-cv-12084. The Court's decision follows a full-day evidentiary hearing and oral argument held in February 2024. Defendants filed a petition appealing the Court's decision. Defendants' petition was denied on January 14, 2026. In April 2026, the parties reached a settlement in principle. Preliminary approval was filed on May 20, 2026.

Notice of the pendency of the Action and the Court's certification of the Class is being disseminated to the Class. You can review a copy of the Notice below. For more information, please visit the case website, www.GoldmanSachsSecuritiesAction.com. You can also contact the Administrator, Epiq Class Action & Claims Solutions, Inc., by calling **1-877-744-0160** or emailing info@GoldmanSachsSecuritiesAction.com.

[Notice of Pendency of Class Action Here](#)

[Read Third Amended Class Action Complaint Here](#)

[Read Opinion and Order Granting and Denying in Part Motion to Dismiss Here](#)

[Read the Report and Recommendation on Motion for Class Certification Here](#)

- Mylan N.V.

This securities fraud class action involves claims against Mylan (n/k/a Viatris Inc.), the world's second largest generic drug manufacturer, and its CEO Heather Bresch, President Rajiv Malik, and CFO Kenneth Parks. The case arises out of Defendants' scheme and misrepresentations regarding rampant abuses of federal

quality control regulations, including at Mylan's flagship Morgantown, West Virginia manufacturing plant. As is alleged in the complaint, Defendants' scheme involved directing employees to circumvent data safety and quality regulations, including through manipulating drug testing results to achieve passing scores and corrupting testing data to create the false appearance of compliance. Defendants carried out this scheme to boost Mylan's manufacturing productivity, and thus profits, while assuring the investing public that its manufacturing methods complied with FDA standards.

Defendants' misrepresentations and scheme came to light through a series of corrective disclosures, which, together, caused the price of Mylan's common stock to fall by over 50%. The complaint alleges that the relevant truth about Defendants' deceptive conduct began to come to light in June 2018 when Bloomberg publicly revealed the FDA's findings of Morgantown's noncompliant manufacturing practices. The complaint alleges that investors continued to learn the truth of Mylan's violative and deceptive manufacturing practices in subsequent disclosures in August 2018 and February and May 2019 that concerned the company's efforts to remediate the Morgantown facility.

In November 2020, Lead Plaintiff filed the 137-page complaint alleging Defendants' violations of the securities laws. In January 2021, Defendants moved to dismiss the complaint. Following the completion of briefing on Defendants' motion to dismiss and oral argument, on May 18, 2023, the Court issued an opinion and order denying the motion to dismiss in part. Defendants then moved for judgment on the pleadings arguing that Lead Plaintiff could not establish loss causation for claims sustained in the Court's opinion and order, which Lead Plaintiff opposed. The Court accepted Lead Plaintiff's arguments in a July 2025 ruling denying Defendants' motion.

On February 18, 2026, the Parties filed a motion seeking preliminary approval of a \$60 million settlement. On February 19, 2026, the Court preliminarily approved the Settlement, authorized the Notice to be disseminated to potential Settlement Class Members, and scheduled the Settlement Hearing to consider whether to grant final approval to the Settlement for June 15, 2026.

The deadline to file a claim to be eligible for a distribution from the Settlement is July 10, 2026. To learn more about the Settlement or submit a claim online, please visit the website for the Settlement www.Mylan2026SecuritiesSettlement.com. Questions should be directed to the claims administrator, JND Legal Administration at 1-866-910-1314 or info@Mylan2026SecuritiesSettlement.com. [Read Consolidated Class Action Complaint Here](#)

Settled

- Pfizer, Inc.
Case Caption: *In re Pfizer Sec. Litig.*
Case Number: 1:04-cv-09866-LTS-HBP
Court: Southern District of New York
Judge: Honorable Laura Taylor Swain
Plaintiffs: Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana, Christine Fleckles, Julie Perusse, and Alden Chace
Defendants: Pfizer, Inc., Henry A. McKinnell, Karen L. Katen, Joseph M. Feczko, and Gail Cawkwell

Overview: This securities fraud class action in Manhattan federal court arose out of Pfizer's concealment of clinical results for two arthritic pain drugs, Celebrex and Bextra. Despite being aware of significant cardiovascular adverse events in clinical trials, Pfizer misrepresented the safety profile of the drugs until the U.S. Food & Drug Administration discontinued a key trial, forced the withdrawal of Bextra from the market, and issued an enhanced warning label for Celebrex. Following a summary judgment order dismissing the case several weeks before trial was set to begin, we successfully appealed the dismissal at the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit and the case was remanded for trial.

After twelve years of litigation, the case resolved in 2016 with Pfizer agreeing to pay the shareholder class \$486 million, the largest-ever securities fraud settlement against a pharmaceutical company in the Southern District of New York.

- Countrywide Financial Corp.
Case Caption: *In re W. Conf. of Teamsters Pension Tr. Fund v. Countrywide Fin. Corp.*, No. 2:12-cv-05122-MRP -MAN, and *Luther v. Countrywide Fin. Corp.*
Case Number: 2:12-cv-05122-MRP-MAN, and 2:12-cv-05125-MRP-MAN
Court: Central District of California
Judge: Honorable Mariana R. Pfaelzer
Plaintiffs: Vermont Pension Investment Committee, Mashreqbank, p.s.c., Pension Trust Fund for Operating Engineers, Operating Engineers Annuity Plan, Washington State Plumbing and Pipefitting Pension Trust, David H. Luther, Western Conference of Teamsters Pension Trust Fund
Defendants: Countrywide Financial Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., CWALT, Inc., CWMB, Inc., CWHEQ, Inc., CWABS, Inc., Countrywide Capital Markets, Countrywide Securities Corporation, Bank of America Corporation, NB Holdings Corporation, Stanford L. Kurland, David A. Spector, Eric P. Sieracki, David A. Sambol, Ranjit Kripalani, N. Joshua Adler, Jennifer S. Sandefur, Jeffrey P. Grogin, Thomas Boone, Thomas K. McLaughlin, Banc of America Securities LLC, Barclays Capital Inc., Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc., BNP Paribas Securities Corp., Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Credit Suisse

Securities (USA) LLC, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Edward D. Jones & Co., L.P. d/b/a Edward Jones, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Greenwich Capital Markets, Inc. a.k.a. RBS Greenwich Capital now known as RBS Securities Inc., HSBC Securities (USA) Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Merrill, Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, and UBS Securities LLC

Overview: As co-lead counsel representing the Maine Public Employees' Retirement System, secured a \$500 million settlement for a class of plaintiffs that purchased mortgage-backed securities (MBS) issued by Countrywide Financial Corporation (Countrywide). Plaintiffs alleged that Countrywide and various of its subsidiaries, officers and investment banks made false and misleading statements in more than 450 prospectus supplements relating to the issuance of subprime and Alt-A MBS—in particular, the quality of the underlying loans. When information about the loans became public, the plaintiffs' investments declined in value. The ensuing six-year litigation raised several issues of first impression in the Ninth Circuit.

- J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.

Case Caption: *In re JPMorgan Chase & Co. Sec. Litig.*

Case Number: 1:12-cv-03852-GBD

Court: Southern District of New York

Judge: Honorable George B. Daniels

Plaintiffs: Arkansas Teacher Retirement System, Ohio Public Employees Retirement System, Sjunde AP-Fonden, and the State of Oregon by and through the Oregon State Treasurer on behalf of the Common School Fund and, together with the Oregon Public Employee Retirement Board, on behalf of the Oregon Public Employee Retirement Fund

Defendants: JPMorgan Chase & Co., James Dimon, and Douglas Braunstein

Overview: This securities fraud class action in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York stemmed from the "London Whale" derivatives trading scandal at JPMorgan Chase. Shareholders alleged that JPMorgan concealed the high-risk, proprietary trading activities of the investment bank's Chief Investment Office, including the highly volatile, synthetic credit portfolio linked to trader Bruno Iksil—a.k.a., the "London Whale"—which caused a \$6.2 billion loss in a matter of weeks. Shareholders accused JPMorgan of falsely downplaying media reports of the synthetic portfolio, including on an April 2012 conference call when JPMorgan CEO Jamie Dimon dismissed these reports as a "tempest in a teapot," when in fact, the portfolio's losses were swelling as a result of the bank's failed oversight.

This case was resolved in 2015 for \$150 million, following U.S. District Judge George B. Daniels' order certifying the class,

representing a significant victory for investors.

- Tenet Healthcare Corp.
As co-lead counsel representing the State of New Jersey – Division of Investment, negotiated a groundbreaking multipart settlement in litigation arising from Tenet Healthcare’s (Tenet) manipulation of the Medicare Outlier reimbursement system and related misrepresentations and omissions. The initial partial settlement included \$215 million from Tenet, personal contributions totaling \$1.5 million from two individual defendants—an unusual result in class action litigation—and numerous changes to the company’s corporate governance practices. A second partial settlement of \$65 million from Tenet’s outside auditor, KPMG, addressed claims that it had provided false and misleading certifications of Tenet’s financial statements. As a result of the settlement, various institutional rating entities now rank Tenet’s corporate governance policies among the strongest in the United States.

News

- May 21, 2026 - KTMC Secures \$500 Million Settlement in Goldman Sachs Fraud Suit Involving 1MDB Malaysia Corruption Scandal
- May 20, 2026 - Court Approves \$239 Million Settlement for Celgene Investors
- November 5, 2025 - KTMC Secures \$239 Million Recovery for Investors in Celgene Securities Fraud Suit
- September 5, 2025 - Kessler Topaz Secures Class Certification in Goldman Sachs Fraud Suit Involving 1MDB Corruption Scandal
- April 9, 2024 - Kessler Topaz Achieves Class Certification Win in 1MDB Fraud Suit Against Goldman Sachs
- September 13, 2023 - New Jersey Federal Court Hands Kessler Topaz Significant Summary Judgment Win, Sends Celgene Investors' Claims to Trial
- August 28, 2023 - Ninth Circuit Revives "Crypto Mining" Securities Fraud Suit Against NVIDIA
- October 1, 2020 - Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check, LLP Once Again Included in the Benchmark Litigation Guide to America's Leading Litigation Firms and Attorneys for 2021
- September 24, 2019 - Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check, LLP Once Again Included in the Benchmark Litigation Guide to America's Leading Litigation Firms and Attorneys for 2020
- May 8, 2017 - Kessler Topaz Again Named Class Action

Litigation Department of the Year by The Legal Intelligencer

Speaking Engagements

Andy has been a regular speaker at the Firm's annual conferences, including The Rights & Responsibilities of Institutional Investors in Amsterdam and The Evolving Fiduciary Obligations of Pension Plans in Washington, D.C.

Awards/Rankings

- Benchmark National Litigation Star, 2020-2025
- Benchmark Litigation US Awards 2021 & 2022 -- Plaintiff Litigator Of The Year Nominee

