KESSLERTOPAZ MELTZERCHECK LLP

AUSTIN W. MANNING

ASSOCIATE

D 610.822.0274
F 610.667.7056

amanning@ktmc.com

FOCUS AREAS

Securities Fraud

EDUCATION

Pennsylvania State University
B.S. Economics, 2010

Temple University Beasley School of Law
J.D., 2019 *Magna Cum Laude*

ADMISSIONS

Pennsylvania

USDC, Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Austin is a litigation associate attorney and is admitted to the Pennsylvania Bar.

Austin graduated magna cum laude from Temple University's James E. Beasley School of Law and received her Bachelor of Science in Economics from Penn State University. During law school, Austin served as a Staff Editor for the Temple Law Review. In her final year, she studied at the University of Lucerne in Lucerne, Switzerland where she received her Global Legal Studies Certificate with a focus on international economic law, human rights, and sustainability.

While in Law School, Austin served as a judicial intern to the Hon. Michael M. Baylson of the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and to the Hon. Arnold L. New of the Pennsylvania Court of Common Pleas. Prior to joining the firm, Austin was a regulatory and litigation associate for a boutique environmental law firm in the Philadelphia area.

Current Cases

- General Electric Company

CASE CAPTION	*Sjunde AP-Fonden, et al., v. General Electric Company, et al.*
COURT	United States District Court for the Southern District of New York

CASE NUMBER	1:17-cv-08457-JMF
JUDGE	Honorable Jesse M. Furman
PLAINTIFFS	Sjunde AP-Fonden and The Cleveland Bakers and Teamsters Pension Fund
DEFENDANTS	General Electric Company and Jeffrey S. Bornstein
CLASS PERIOD	March 2, 2015 through January 23, 2018, inclusive

This securities fraud class action case arises out of alleged misrepresentations made by General Electric ("GE") and its former Chief Financial Officer, Jeffrey S. Bornstein (together, "Defendants"), regarding the use of factoring to conceal cash flow problems that existed within GE Power between March 2, 2015, and January 24, 2018 (the "Class Period").

GE Power is the largest business in GE's Industrials operating segment. The segment constructs and sells power plants, generators, and turbines, and also services such assets through long term service agreements ("LTSAs"). In the years leading up to the Class Period, as global demand for traditional power waned, so too did GE's sales of gas turbines and its customer's utilization of existing GE-serviced equipment. These declines drove down GE Power's earnings under its LTSAs associated with that equipment. This was because GE could only collect cash from customers when certain utilization levels were achieved or upon some occurrence within the LTSA, such as significant service work.

Plaintiffs allege that in an attempt to make up for these lost earnings, GE modified existing LTSAs to increase its profit margin and then utilized an accounting technique known as a "cumulative catch-up adjustment" to book immediate profits based on that higher margin. In most instances, GE recorded those cumulative catch-up earnings on its income statement long before it could actually invoice customers and collect cash under those agreements. This contributed to a growing gap between GE's recorded non-cash revenues (or "Contract Assets") and its industrial cash flows from operating activities ("Industrial CFOA").

In order to conceal this increasing disparity, Plaintiffs allege that GE increased its reliance on long-term receivables factoring (i.e., selling future receivables to GE Capital, GE's financing arm, or third parties for immediate cash). Through long-term factoring, GE pulled forward future cash flows, which it then reported as cash from operating activities ("CFOA"). GE relied on long-term factoring to generate CFOA needed to reach publicly disclosed cash flow targets. Thus, in stark contrast to the true state of affairs within GE Power—and in violation of Item 303 of Regulation S-K—GE's Class Period financial statements did not disclose material facts regarding GE's factoring practices, the true extent of the cash flow problems that GE was attempting to conceal through receivables factoring, or the risks associated with GE's reliance on factoring. Eventually, however, GE could no longer rely on this unsustainable practice to conceal its weak Industrial cash flows. As the truth was gradually revealed to investors—in the form of, among other things, disclosures of poor Industrial cash flows and massive reductions in Industrial CFOA guidance—GE's stock price plummeted, causing substantial harm to Plaintiffs and the Class.

In January 2021, the Court sustained Plaintiffs' claims based on allegations that GE failed to disclose material facts relating its practice of and reliance on factoring, in violation of Item 303, and affirmatively misled investors about the purpose of GE's factoring practices. In April 2022, following the completion of fact discovery, the Court granted Plaintiffs' motion for class certification, certifying a Class of investors who purchased or otherwise acquired GE common stock between February 29, 2016 and January 23, 2018.

In that same order, the Court granted Plaintiffs' motion for leave to amend their complaint to pursue claims based on an additional false statement made by Defendant Bornstein. The Court had previously dismissed these claims but, upon reviewing Plaintiffs' motion—based on evidence obtained through discovery—permitted the claim to proceed.

On September 28, 2023, the Court entered an order denying Defendants' motion for summary judgment, sending Plaintiffs' claims to trial. In March 2024, the Court denied Defendants' motion for reconsideration of its summary judgment decision. With trial set to begin in November 2024, in October, the parties agreed to resolve the matter for $362.5 million, pending the Court's approval.

Read Fifth Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint Here
Read Opinion and Order Granting and Denying in Part Motion to Dismiss Here
Read Order Granting Motion for Class Certification and for Leave to Amend Here
Click Here to Read the Class Notice
Read Opinion and Order Here (9/28/23)
Read Memorandum Opinion & Order Here (3/21/24)

- Rivian Automotive Inc.

CASE CAPTION	*Charles Larry Crews, Jr., et al. v. Rivian Automotive Inc., et al.*

COURT	United States District Court for the Central District of California Western Division
CASE NUMBER	2:22-cv-0524
JUDGE	Honorable Josephine L. Staton
PLAINTIFFS	Sjunde AP-Fonden, James Stephen Muhl
DEFENDANTS	Rivian Automotive, Inc. ("Rivian" or the "Company"), Robert J. Scaringe, Claire McDonough, Jeffrey R. Baker, Karen Boone, Sanford Schwartz, Rose Marcario, Peter Krawiec, Jay Flatley, Pamela Thomas-Graham, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, Goldman Sachs & Co., LLC, J.P.

Morgan Securities LLC, Barclays Capital Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Allen & Company LLC, BofA Securities, Inc., Mizuho Securities USA LLC, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Nomura Securities International, Inc., Piper Sandler & Co., RBC Capital Markets, LLC, Robert W. Baird & Co. Inc., Wedbush Securities Inc., Academy Securities, Inc., Blaylock Van, LLC, Cabrera Capital Markets LLC, C.L. King & Associates, Inc., Loop Capital Markets LLC, Samuel A. Ramirez & Co., Inc., Siebert Williams Shank & Co., LLC, and

	Tigress Financial Partners LLC.
CLASS PERIOD	November 10, 2021 through March 10, 2022, inclusive

This securities fraud class action case arises out of Defendants' representations and omissions made in connection with Rivian's highly-anticipated initial public offering ("IPO") on November 10, 2021. Specifically, the Company's IPO offering documents failed to disclose material facts and risks to investors arising from the true cost of manufacturing the Company's electric vehicles, the R1T and R1S, and the planned price increase that was necessary to ensure the Company's long-term profitability. During the Class Period, Plaintiffs allege that certain defendants continued to mislead the market concerning the need for and timing of a price increase for the R1 vehicles. The truth concerning the state of affairs within the Company was gradually revealed to the public, first on March 1, 2022 through a significant price increase—and subsequent retraction on March 3, 2022—for existing and future preorders. And then on March 10, 2022, the full extent Rivian's long-term financial prospects was disclosed in connection with its Fiscal Year 2022 guidance. As alleged, following these revelations, Rivian's stock price fell precipitously, causing significant losses and damages to the Company's investors.

On July 22, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a Consolidated Class Action Complaint on behalf of a putative class of investors alleging that Rivian, and its CEO Robert J. Scaringe ("Scaringe"), CFO Claire McDonough ("McDonough"), and CAO Jeffrey R. Baker ("Baker") violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act. Plaintiffs also allege violations of Section 11, Section 12(a)(2), and Section 15 of the Securities Act against Rivian, Scaringe, McDonough, Baker, Rivian Director Karen Boone, Rivian Director Sanford Schwartz, Rivian Director Rose Marcario, Rivian Director Peter Krawiec, Rivian Director Jay Flatley, Rivian Director Pamela Thomas-Graham, and the Rivian IPO Underwriters. In August 2022, Defendants filed motions to dismiss, which the Court granted with leave to amend in February 2023. On March 16, 2023, Defendants filed motions to dismiss the amended complaint. In July 2023, the Court denied Defendants' motions to dismiss the amended complaint in its entirety. Thereafter, on December 1, 2023, Plaintiffs moved for class certification. Following the parties' briefing on the motion, on July 17, 2024 the Court granted Plaintiffs'

motion for class certification. The case remains in fact discovery.
[Read Notice of Pendency of Class Action Here]
[Read Consolidated Class Action Complaint Here]
[Read Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint Here]

News

- September 9, 2024 - Kessler Topaz Defeats Dismissal Motion in Coinbase Securities Litigation, Investor Claims to Proceed
- August 19, 2021 - Claims Against Kraft Heinz and 3G Capital Arising From Unprecedented $15.4 Billion Writedown Proceed to Discovery