Keith A. Ketterling, OSB No. 913368 Timothy S. DeJong, OSB No. 940662 STOLL STOLL BERNE LOKTING & SHLACHTER P.C. 209 Southwest Oak Street, Suite 500

209 Southwest Oak Street, Suite

Portland, OR 97204

Telephone: (503) 227-1600 Facsimile: (503) 227-6840

Email: kketterling@stollberne.com

tdejong@stollberne.com

Local Counsel for Plaintiff Yagnesh Mehta

[Additional counsel appear on signature page.]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

YAGNESH MEHTA, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

NIKE, INC., JOHN J. DONAHOE II, and MATTHEW FRIEND,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:24-cv-1150

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a) & 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5)

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PAGE 1 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Yagnesh Mehta ("Plaintiff"), by and through Plaintiff's counsel, alleges the

following based upon personal knowledge as to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's own acts, and upon

information and belief as to all other matters, including the investigation of Plaintiff's counsel,

which included, among other things, a review of Defendants' (defined below) United States

Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filings, wire and press releases published by NIKE,

Inc. ("NIKE" or the "Company"), analyst reports and advisories about the Company, media reports

concerning the Company, judicial filings and opinions, and other publicly available information.

Plaintiff believes that substantial additional evidentiary support will exist for the allegations set

forth herein after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

I. NATURE OF THE ACTION AND OVERVIEW

1. This is a federal securities class action on behalf of a class of all persons and entities

who purchased or otherwise acquired NIKE Class B common stock between March 19, 2021, and

June 27, 2024, inclusive (the "Class Period"), seeking to pursue remedies under Sections 10(b)

and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), and SEC Rule 10b-5,

promulgated thereunder.

2. NIKE is an Oregon corporation with its principal executive offices in Beaverton,

Oregon. NIKE is a global athletic footwear and apparel company which designs, markets, and

sells products for its NIKE, Jordan, and Converse brands. NIKE branded products are sold through

the Company's retail stores, NIKE Brand Digital platforms ("NIKE Digital"), wholesale partners,

distributors, and licensees. NIKE Digital includes Nike.com and the Company's digital

application, Nike+. The Company's Class B common stock trades on the New York Stock

Exchange ("NYSE") under the ticker symbol "NKE."

PAGE 2 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

STOLL STOLL BERNE LOKTING & SHLACHTER P.C. 209 S.W. OAK STREET, SUITE 500 PORTLAND, OREGON 97204 TEL. (503) 227-1600 FAX (503) 227-6840

3. In 2017, NIKE began implementing its "Consumer Direct Offense" strategy, which

focused on increasing innovation and direct connections with consumers. Consumer Direct

Offense also emphasized NIKE's digital presence as a means of directly connecting with

consumers by "add[ing] greater digital expertise and control in the markets where consumer

connections happen." In fact, the Company began reporting the financial metrics from NIKE

Digital and the Company's retail stores as "NIKE Direct." In connection with NIKE's direct-to-

consumer strategy, the Company dropped nearly one-third of its sales partners by late 2020, and

significantly reduced sales to other major retail clients in order to shift the Company's focus to

direct-to-consumer sales.

4. The Class Period begins on March 19, 2021, to coincide with NIKE's

announcement of its financial results for the third quarter of fiscal year 2021, and NIKE's related

investor earnings call, after the market closed the prior evening. In connection with these results,

Defendant John J. Donahoe II (the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer) touted that

"NIKE continues to deeply connect with consumers all over the world driven by our strong

competitive advantages" and that "[o]ur strategy is working, as we accelerate innovation and create

the seamless, premium marketplace of the future." Defendant Matthew Friend (the Company's

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer) similarly assured investors that "NIKE's

brand momentum is as strong as ever and we are driving focused growth against our largest

opportunities." On the related investor earnings call, Defendant Donahoe emphasized NIKE's

"tremendous success in digital" and that "NIKE's digital transformation remains a unique

advantage."

PAGE 3 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

5. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants repeatedly touted the purported strength

of NIKE's business model, and in particular, the claimed success of its digital and direct-to-

consumer strategies to produce sustainable growth, while downplaying the significant competitive

pressures facing the Company.

6. Investors began to learn the truth about NIKE's inability to generate sustainable

revenue growth on June 27, 2022, when the Company announced its fourth quarter and full year

2022 financial results after market close. NIKE announced that quarterly revenues declined 1%

year-over-year and quarterly wholesale revenues declined 7% year-over-year. However,

Defendant Donahoe reassured investors that NIKE's "strategy is working" by creating value

through its "competitive advantages, including [its] pipeline of innovative product[s] and

expanding digital leadership." He further asserted that NIKE's investments in digital and other

areas prompted Defendants to be "very confident in our long-term strategy and our growth

outlook." On this news, the price of NIKE Class B common stock declined \$7.72 per share, or

nearly 7%, from a close of \$110.50 per share on June 27, 2022, to close at \$102.78 per share on

June 28, 2022.

7. Three months later, on September 29, 2022, investors learned more when NIKE

reported its first quarter fiscal year 2023 financial earnings after market close. In spite of modest

revenue growth, NIKE reported that its net income declined 22% year-over-year and that diluted

earnings per share ("EPS") similarly declined 20% year-over-year. NIKE also reported a

significant reduction in gross margin (down 220 basis points year-over-year) driven by the disposal

of excess inventory—which was 44% higher than in the first quarter of 2022. On this news, the

PAGE 4 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

price of NIKE Class B common stock declined \$12.21 per share, or nearly 13%, from a close of

\$95.33 per share on September 29, 2022, to close at \$83.12 per share on September 30, 2022.

8. Notwithstanding the Company's struggles with NIKE Direct and its direct-to-

consumer strategy, Defendants continued to tout the purported strength of NIKE's business model

over the next year, telling investors that NIKE's "competitive advantages continue to fuel our

momentum" and that NIKE is primed to "leverage our competitive advantages to not only gain

share but also grow the market."

9. On December 21, 2023, however, investors learned more about the competitive

pressures facing NIKE when the Company issued its second quarter fiscal year 2024 financial

results and held its related investor earnings call after market close. Defendant Friend admitted

that NIKE's "total retail sales across the marketplace fell short of our expectations," and that

NIKE's digital platforms lost consumer traffic to competitors because of "higher promotional

activity across the marketplace." Given these challenges, Defendant Friend revealed that NIKE

was "adjusting [its] channel growth plans for the remainder of the year" and "identifying

opportunities across the company to deliver up to \$2 billion in cumulative cost savings over the

next 3 years." On this news, the price of NIKE Class B common stock declined \$14.49 per share,

or nearly 12%, from a close of \$122.53 per share on December 21, 2023, to close at \$108.04 per

share on December 22, 2023.

10. On March 21, 2024, NIKE announced its third quarter fiscal year 2024 financial

results after market close, revealing a 3% year-over-year decline in revenue in its Europe, Middle

East, and Africa ("EMEA") segment, a 3% year-over-year decline in NIKE Digital revenue, and

scant quarterly revenue growth of approximately 0.4% year-over-year in NIKE Direct. On the

PAGE 5 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

STOLL STOLL BERNE LOKTING & SHLACHTER P.C. 209 S.W. OAK STREET, SUITE 500 PORTLAND, OREGON 97204 TEL. (503) 227-1600 FAX (503) 227-6840

related investor earnings call held that same day, Defendant Donahoe admitted that "NIKE is not

performing [to its] potential" even though moments earlier he claimed that "Q3 performed in line

with our expectations." Moreover, Defendant Donahoe revealed the Company's decision to

reduce reliance on its direct-to-consumer strategy and "lean in with our wholesale partners to

elevate our brand and grow the total marketplace." According to Defendant Donahoe, NIKE made

a "reinvestment with our wholesale partners, so we bring a more holistic offense that grows the

market and gets in the path of our consumer." Furthermore, Defendant Friend revealed that NIKE

was "prudently planning for revenue in the first half of the fiscal year [2025] to be down low single

digits" as Defendants "shift our product portfolio toward newness and innovation." On this news,

the price of NIKE Class B common stock declined \$6.96 per share, or nearly 7%, from a close of

\$100.82 per share on March 21, 2024, to close at \$93.86 per share on March 22, 2024.

11. On June 27, 2024, NIKE announced its fourth quarter and full year 2024 financial

results. The Company revealed a 2% year-over-year quarterly revenue decrease, including, inter

alia, an 8% year-over-year quarterly revenue decline in NIKE Direct and a 10% year-over-year

quarterly revenue decline in NIKE Digital. Defendant Donahoe later explained to investors that

Defendants are taking "strategic shifts . . . including leadership and organization changes" to

"position [NIKE] to compete and win." Nevertheless, Defendant Friend disclosed that NIKE was

expected to face significant headwinds through fiscal year 2025 which would cause NIKE's full

year 2025 revenue "to be down mid-single digits with the first half down high single digits."

Critically, Defendants further retreated from NIKE's direct-to-consumer strategy by "reducing

what we're offering to consumers through our digital channel." Given NIKE's substandard

financial performance and Defendants' disparaging commentary about the Company's financial

PAGE 6 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

STOLL STOLL BERNE LOKTING & SHLACHTER P.C. 209 S.W. OAK STREET, SUITE 500 PORTLAND, OREGON 97204 TEL. (503) 227-1600 FAX (503) 227-6840

outlook, market analysts at Barclays questioned the "long-term health of the Nike brand" as

"[NIKE]'s strategy continues to increase in uncertainty." Moreover, Neil Saunders, the managing

director at GlobalData, also expressed an unsettled view of the Company, noting that NIKE's

"[m]anagement has tried to sell a story of improvement to investors, but is not prepared to back it

up with positive forecasts." On this news, the price of NIKE Class B common stock declined

\$18.82 per share, or approximately 20%, from a close of \$94.19 per share on June 27, 2024, to

close at \$75.37 per share on June 28, 2024. This stock drop represented the largest decline in the

price of NIKE Class B common stock since 2001.

12. This Complaint alleges that, throughout the Class Period, Defendants made

materially false and/or misleading statements, as well as failed to disclose material adverse facts,

about the Company's business and operations. Specifically, Defendants misrepresented and/or

failed to disclose that: (1) NIKE's direct-to-consumer strategy was unable to generate sustainable

revenue growth; (2) NIKE's purported competitive advantages were unable to protect the

Company from intense competitive pressures after NIKE largely disengaged from many of its

wholesale and retail partners to focus on the Company's direct-to-consumer strategy; and (3) as a

result, Defendants' representations about the Company's business, operations, and prospects were

materially false and misleading and/or lacked a reasonable basis.

13. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the decline in the

market value of the Company's Class B common stock pursuant to the revelation of the fraud,

Plaintiff and other members of the Class (defined below) have suffered significant damages.

PAGE 7 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

II. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

14. Plaintiff's claims arise under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act,

15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a), and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, including

SEC Rule 10b-5, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5.

15. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C.

§ 1331 and Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aa.

16. Venue is proper in this District under Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C.

§ 78aa, and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), because NIKE is incorporated and headquartered in this District,

and because many of the acts and conduct that constitute the violations of law complained of

herein, including the dissemination to the public of materially false and misleading information,

occurred in this District.

17. In connection with the acts, conduct, and other wrongs alleged in this Complaint,

Defendants, directly or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce,

including but not limited to, the United States mails, interstate telephone communications, and the

facilities of the national securities markets.

III. PARTIES

18. Plaintiff, as set forth in the accompanying certification, incorporated by reference

herein, purchased NIKE Class B common stock at artificially inflated prices during the Class

Period and suffered damages as a result of the violations of the federal securities laws alleged

herein.

19. Defendant NIKE is an Oregon corporation headquartered at One Bowerman Drive,

Beaverton, Oregon 97005-6453.

PAGE 8 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

20. Defendant Donahoe was, at all relevant times, NIKE's President and Chief

Executive Officer, and a Company Director.

21. Defendant Friend was, at all relevant times, NIKE's Executive Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer.

22. Defendants Donahoe and Friend are collectively referred to as the "Individual

Defendants."

23. The Individual Defendants, because of their positions with the Company, possessed

the power and authority to control the contents of NIKE's reports to the SEC, press releases, and

presentations to securities analysts, money and portfolio managers, and institutional investors, i.e.,

the market. Each Individual Defendant was provided with copies of the Company's reports alleged

herein to be misleading prior to, or shortly after, their issuance and had the ability and opportunity

to prevent their issuance or cause them to be corrected. Because of their positions and access to

material non-public information available to them, each of the Individual Defendants knew that

the adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to, and/or were being concealed from, the

public, and that the positive representations that were being made were then materially false and/or

misleading.

24. NIKE and the Individual Defendants are collectively referred to herein as

"Defendants."

IV. <u>SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS</u>

A. Background

25. NIKE is an Oregon corporation with its principal executive offices in Beaverton,

Oregon. It is a global athletic footwear and apparel company which designs, markets, and sells

PAGE 9 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

products for its NIKE, Jordan, and Converse brands. The Company's NIKE branded products are

sold through its retail stores, NIKE Digital, wholesale partners, distributors, and licensees. NIKE

Digital includes Nike.com and the Company's digital application, Nike+.

26. Historically, NIKE emphasized three "competitive advantages" as the basis for its

success—"a culture deeply rooted in innovation, a brand that deeply connects with consumers

fueled by compelling storytelling and an unmatched sports marketing portfolio."

27. In 2017, NIKE began implementing its "Consumer Direct Offense" strategy, which

focused on increasing innovation and direct connections with consumers. Consumer Direct

Offense also emphasized NIKE's digital presence as a means of directly connecting with

consumers by "add[ing] greater digital expertise and control in the markets where consumer

connections happen." In fact, the Company began reporting the financial metrics from NIKE

Digital and the Company's retail stores as one segment—"NIKE Direct." NIKE Direct is divided

into four geographic operating segments: North America; EMEA; Greater China; and Asia Pacific

& Latin America.

28. On June 25, 2020, Defendants announced a second phase to Consumer Direct

Offense—"Consumer Direct Acceleration"—the Company's "new digitally empowered phase of

our consumer direct strategy" intended to provide consumers with a "consistent, seamless physical

and digital experience."

29. According to the Company, the acceleration of NIKE's direct-to-consumer strategy

more closely aligned NIKE's designs and products with consumer preferences, and optimized the

Company in several areas, including, inter alia, "data and analytics, demand sensing, insight

gathering," and inventory management, to accelerate NIKE's "digital transformation."

PAGE 10 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

Case 3:24-cv-01150-AN Document 1 Filed 07/15/24 Page 11 of 27

30. In connection with the acceleration of NIKE's direct-to-consumer strategy, NIKE

dropped nearly one-third of its sales partners by late 2020, and significantly reduced sales to retail

clients, such as Foot Locker, DSW, and Macy's, in order to shift the Company toward direct-to-

consumer sales and away from reliance on wholesale partners. NIKE Direct is intended to be the

centerpiece of NIKE's long-term financial plans and has been touted by Defendant Donahoe as

NIKE's "fourth emerging competitive advantage."

31. NIKE's Class B common stock trades on the NYSE under the ticker symbol

"NKE."

B. Defendants' False and Misleading Statements During the Initial

Portion of the Class Period

32. The Class Period begins on March 19, 2021, the day after NIKE announced its third

quarter fiscal year 2021 financial results after market hours. Among other things, the Company

highlighted a 3% year-over-year increase in quarterly revenues "led by Greater China reported

revenue growth of 51 percent" and "NIKE Brand digital sales increased 59 percent . . . with strong

double-digit increases in all geographies." Notably, Defendant Donahoe touted the Company's

"strong competitive advantages" as the driving force behind NIKE's continued success. Defendant

Friend similarly assured investors that "NIKE's brand momentum is as strong as ever, and we are

driving focused growth against our largest opportunities."

33. During the accompanying investor earnings call held after market hours on March

18, 2021, Defendant Donahoe stressed NIKE's "tremendous success in digital"—including the

Company's "digital transformation"—as its "unique advantage" to power its global brand.

PAGE 11 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

34. On June 24, 2021, when NIKE announced its fourth quarter and full fiscal year

2021 financial results after market close, Defendant Donahoe emphasized that "NIKE's strong

results this quarter and full fiscal year demonstrate NIKE's unique competitive advantage and deep

connection with consumers all over the world." Defendants specifically focused on the importance

of the Company's digital presence, with Defendant Friend noting that "NIKE's brand momentum

is a testament to our authentic consumer connections, digital strength and continued operational

execution," and that, "[a]s we advance our consumer-led digital transformation, we are building a

new financial model that will continue to fuel long-term sustainable, profitable growth for NIKE."

35. During the accompanying investor earnings call held that same day, Defendant

Donahoe reiterated that NIKE's "strong business results proved yet again NIKE's unique

competitive advantage." Furthermore, he touted that "we are better positioned to drive sustainable

long-term growth than we were before the pandemic" and "[o]ur relentless pipeline of innovative

products continues to create separation between us and our competition."

36. On the same call, Defendant Friend discussed NIKE's Consumer Direct

Acceleration strategy, explaining that the Company will make an "accelerated shift to a more direct

member-centric business model" where the Company's revenue "[g]rowth will be led by NIKE

Direct and our strategic marketplace partners." Specifically, he noted that Defendants intend for

NIKE Direct "to represent approximately 60% of the business in fiscal '25, led by growth in

digital."

37. On December 20, 2021 NIKE announced its second quarter fiscal year 2022

financial results after market close. On the accompanying investor earnings call held that same

day, Defendant Donahoe emphasized that "[t]he results we delivered offered continued proof that

PAGE 12 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

our strategy is working." Moreover, Defendant Donahoe highlighted NIKE's digital presence as

its "fourth emerging competitive advantage . . . as we are one of the few brands that can directly

connect with and serve consumers at scale."

38. During the same call, Defendant Donahoe further touted NIKE's competitive

position during an exchange with a JPMorgan Chase & Co. analyst. Specifically, Defendant

Donahoe stated that the Company's "digital penetration is at an all-time high," leading to a "direct

connection with the consumer" that is ultimately "strengthening and strengthening [NIKE's brand]

against our historical competitors."

39. On March 21, 2022, NIKE reported its third quarter fiscal year 2022 financial

results after market close. During the accompanying investor earnings call held that same day,

Defendant Donahoe stressed NIKE's "growing digital advantage," particularly as Defendants

"continue to drive greater competitive separation" through the Company's digital presence.

Moreover, while NIKE's third quarter fiscal year 2022 revenues in Greater China were down 8%

year-over-year, Defendant Friend sought to reassure investors that "NIKE was rated the #1 cool

and #1 favorite brand in China, creating separation and distinction versus the competition."

40. The above statements identified in ¶ 32-39 were materially false and misleading,

and failed to disclose materially adverse facts, about the Company's business and operations.

Specifically, Defendants misrepresented and/or failed to disclose that: (1) NIKE's direct-to-

consumer strategy was unable to generate sustainable revenue growth; (2) NIKE's competitive

advantages were unable to protect the Company from intense competitive pressures after NIKE

largely disengaged from many of its wholesale and retail partners to focus on the Company's

direct-to-consumer strategy; and (3) as a result, Defendants' representations about the Company's

PAGE 13 - CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

STOLL STOLL BERNE LOKTING & SHLACHTER P.C. 209 S.W. OAK STREET, SUITE 500 PORTLAND, OREGON 97204 TEL. (503) 227-1600 FAX (503) 227-6840

business, operations, and prospects were materially false and misleading and/or lacked a

reasonable basis.

C. The Truth Begins to Be Revealed

41. Investors began to learn the truth about the unsustainability of NIKE's direct-to-

consumer strategy on June 27, 2022, when NIKE announced its fourth quarter and full fiscal year

2022 financial results after market close. NIKE's quarterly revenues declined 1% year-over-year

and quarterly wholesale revenues declined 7% year-over-year. Likewise, NIKE's quarterly gross

margin declined 80 basis points year-over-year, "primarily due to higher inventory obsolescence

reserves in Greater China and elevated freight and logistics costs," and the Company's 2022 gross

margin growth of 120 basis points year-over-year was significantly below expectations.

42. Defendant Donahoe asserted that, in spite of the Company's poor performance,

NIKE's "competitive advantages, including our pipeline of innovative product and expanding

digital leadership, prove that our strategy is working as we create value through our relentless drive

to serve the future of sport."

43. Additionally, on the accompanying investor earnings call also held on June 27,

2022, Defendant Donahoe stated that, "as we look ahead to fiscal '23, we remain very confident

in our long-term strategy and our growth outlook." Moreover, in response to an analyst's question

about Defendants' outlook in China—including an expected 100+ basis point decline in first

quarter fiscal year 2023 gross margin—Defendant Donahoe downplayed any concerns, noting that

"[w]e've always taken a long-term view" in China and that NIKE is China's "#1 cool brand."

44. On this news, the price of NIKE Class B common stock declined \$7.72 per share,

or nearly 7%, from a close of \$110.50 per share on June 27, 2022, to close at \$102.78 per share on

June 28, 2022.

PAGE 14 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

45. Investors learned more about the Company's struggles on September 29, 2022,

when NIKE reported disappointing first quarter fiscal year 2023 financial results after market

close. NIKE reported significant year-over-year declines in net income (22%), diluted EPS (20%),

and gross margin (220 basis points), with only a meager increase in NIKE's quarterly revenue

(4%). The Company also disclosed that its gross margin was primarily impacted by the disposal

of excess inventory—which was 44% higher than the same period in 2022. In explaining the

Company's margin issues, Defendant Friend acknowledged that "we've decided to take that

inventory and more aggressively liquidate it."

46. Nonetheless, on the accompanying investor earnings call held that same day,

Defendant Donahoe reassured investors that the industry was experiencing a "period of

turbulence" where Defendants "want to leverage our strengths to emerge in a stronger position

than our competition at the other end of it." Specifically, he underscored that "[w]e've got a really

strong innovation pipeline. So we talk about the transitional and the structural. The transitional

is navigating through the inventory situation. The structural is leveraging our competitive

advantages so we emerge in a stronger position, and we'll be playing offense on both."

47. On this news, the price of NIKE Class B common stock declined \$12.21 per share,

or nearly 13%, from a close of \$95.33 per share on September 29, 2022, to close at \$83.12 per

share on September 30, 2022.

D. Defendants Continue to Falsely Tout the Sustainability of NIKE's Business

Model

48. On December 20, 2022, NIKE announced its second quarter fiscal year 2023

financial results after market close. In connection with these results, Defendants persisted in

PAGE 15 - CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

touting NIKE's growth strategy, with Defendant Donahoe claiming that "NIKE's results this

quarter are a testament to our deep connection with consumers," "[o]ur growth was broad-based

and was driven by our expanding digital leadership and brand strength," and that "[t]hese results

give us confidence in delivering the year as our competitive advantages continue to fuel our

momentum." Additionally, Defendant Friend stated that "[c]onsumer demand for NIKE's

portfolio of brands continues to drive strong business momentum in a dynamic environment" and

that Defendants are "on track to deliver on our operational and financial goals — setting the

foundation for sustainable, profitable growth."

49. On the accompanying investor earnings call held that same day, Defendant

Donahoe highlighted that NIKE is "creating more separation between us and our competition

thanks to the meaningful relationships we have with consumers and the continued success of our

strategy."

50. Six months later, on June 29, 2023, the Company reported its fourth quarter and

full fiscal year 2023 financial results after market close. On the accompanying investor earnings

call held that same day, Defendant Friend announced NIKE's fiscal year 2024 financial outlook

of revenue growth in the mid-single digits and gross margin growth between 140 and 160 basis

points due, in part, to "clear advantages, strong consumer momentum, a robust product innovation

pipeline, healthy inventory and a normalized flow of supply."

51. During the same investor earnings call, a JPMorgan Chase & Co. analyst asked

Defendant Donahoe to explain "how you believe the NIKE brand is positioned to capture market

share globally?" In response, Defendant Donahoe stated that NIKE is primed to "leverage our

PAGE 16 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

competitive advantages"—such as its digital presence—"to not only gain share but also grow the

market."

52. The above statements identified in ¶¶ 48-51 were materially false and misleading,

and failed to disclose materially adverse facts, about the Company's business and operations.

Specifically, Defendants misrepresented and/or failed to disclose that: (1) NIKE's direct-to-

consumer strategy was unable to generate sustainable revenue growth; (2) NIKE's competitive

advantages were unable to protect the Company from intense competitive pressures after NIKE

largely disengaged from many of its wholesale and retail partners to focus on the Company's

direct-to-consumer strategy; and (3) as a result, Defendants' representations about the Company's

business, operations, and prospects were materially false and misleading and/or lacked a

reasonable basis.

E. The Truth is Revealed

53. Investors began to learn more about NIKE's inability to generate sustainable

revenue growth through its Consumer Direct Offense strategy on December 21, 2023, when the

Company announced its second quarter fiscal year 2024 financial results after market close.

Specifically, the Company announced 1% total revenue growth year-over-year, which was dragged

down by quarterly revenue declines in North America and EMEA. Additionally, during the

accompanying investor earnings call held that same day, Defendant Friend revealed that NIKE's

"[t]otal retail sales across the marketplace fell short of our expectations" and that NIKE's digital

platforms lost consumer traffic to competitors because of "higher levels of promotional activity

across the marketplace." Given these challenges, Defendant Friend revealed that NIKE was

"adjusting [its] channel growth plans for the remainder of the year" and "identifying opportunities

across the company to deliver up to \$2 billion in cumulative cost savings over the next 3 years,"

PAGE 17 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

including improving the Company's supply chain efficiency and "streamlining [its] organizational

structure."

54. On this news, the price of NIKE Class B common stock declined \$14.49 per share,

or nearly 12%, from a close of \$122.53 per share on December 21, 2023, to close at \$108.04 per

share on December 22, 2023.

55. On March 21, 2024, NIKE announced its third quarter fiscal year 2024 financial

results after market close, revealing a 3% year-over-year decline in EMEA revenue, a 3% year-

over-year decline in NIKE Digital revenue, and scant quarterly revenue growth of just

approximately 0.4% year-over-year in NIKE Direct. Defendant Donahoe, on the accompanying

investors earnings call held that same day, admitted that "NIKE is not performing in our potential."

He then revealed that, "while NIKE Direct will continue to play a critical role, we must lean in

with our wholesale partners to elevate our brand and grow the total marketplace." Furthermore,

Defendant Donahoe stated that "we're combining both the best of our direct offense but a

reinvestment with our wholesale partners, so we bring a more holistic offense that grows the

market and gets in the path of our consumer." Defendant Friend then informed investors that "we

are prudently planning for revenue in the first half of the fiscal year [2025] to be down low single

digits."

56. On this news, the price of NIKE Class B common stock declined \$6.96 per share,

or nearly 7%, from a close of \$100.82 per share on March 21, 2024, to close at \$93.86 per share

on March 22, 2024.

57. Then, on June 27, 2024, NIKE announced disappointing fourth quarter and full year

2024 financial results. The Company disclosed a 2% year-over-year decrease in fourth quarter

PAGE 18 - CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

revenues, with significant year-over-year quarterly declines in NIKE Direct (8%) and NIKE

Digital (10%). Moreover, NIKE's full year 2024 revenues only increased approximately 0.3%

year-over-year, with a meager year-over-year increase in NIKE Direct revenue of approximately

1% for full year 2024. Critically, NIKE Digital full year 2024 revenue declined 3% year-over-

year.

58. On the related investor earnings call held that same day, Defendant Donahoe stated

that "fiscal '25 will be a transition year for our business," but "highlighted the strategic shifts we're

taking as a company, including leadership and organization changes" and "making a series of

adjustments to position us to compete and win." More surprisingly, Defendant Friend revealed a

"more pronounced impact" from headwinds expected to affect the Company through fiscal year

2025. In light of these headwinds, Defendant Friend revealed that NIKE expects full year 2025

NIKE revenue "to be down mid-single digits with the first half down high single digits"—

significantly worse than previously disclosed. When asked about the change in the Company's

financial outlook from the prior quarter, Defendant Friend explained that there was a "more

pronounced" decline in NIKE's lifestyle products on NIKE Digital platforms than expected. In

fact, Defendant Friend further revealed that, in order to "manage the health of [NIKE's largest

franchises]," Defendants are planning to "reduc[e] what we're offering to consumers through our

digital channel."

59. Market analysts were disappointed by NIKE's fourth quarter and full year 2024

financial results. For example, analysts at Barclays believe that "[NIKE]'s strategy continues to

increase in uncertainty" as to NIKE's "large-scale efforts to reset the business," including the

Company's "redirection back into the wholesale channel." Importantly, the Barclays analysts note

PAGE 19 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

that "[w]e believe the most recent quarterly results have raised more questions and more

uncertainty about the long-term health of the Nike brand." Additionally, an analyst at Stifel stated

that "[m]anagement['s] credibility is severely challenged, and [the] potential for C-level regime

change adds further uncertainty." Echoing this dim view of management, Neil Saunders, the

managing director at GlobalData, was quoted saying that NIKE's "[m]anagement has tried to sell

a story of improvement to investors, but is not prepared to back it up with positive forecasts."

60. On the news of NIKE's continued struggles with its direct-to-consumer strategy

and increasingly negative financial outlook for 2025, the price of NIKE Class B common stock

declined \$18.82 per share, or approximately 20%, from a close of \$94.19 per share on June 27,

2024, to close at \$75.37 per share on June 28, 2024. The decline was NIKE's largest since 2001.

V. <u>PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS</u>

61. Plaintiff brings this class action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure on behalf of a class of all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired

NIKE Class B common stock during the Class Period (the "Class"). Excluded from the Class are

Defendants, their agents, directors and officers of NIKE, and their families and affiliates.

62. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is

impracticable. The disposition of their claims in a class action will provide substantial benefits to

the parties and the Court.

63. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact

involved in this case. Questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class, which

predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members, include:

a. Whether Defendants violated the Exchange Act;

b. Whether Defendants omitted and/or misrepresented material facts;

PAGE 20 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

c. Whether Defendants' statements omitted material facts necessary in order

to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they

were made, not misleading;

d. Whether Defendants knew or recklessly disregarded that their statements

were false and misleading;

e. Whether the price of NIKE Class B common stock was artificially inflated;

and

f. The extent of damage sustained by members of the Class and the

appropriate measure of damages.

64. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the Class because Plaintiff and the Class

sustained damages from Defendants' wrongful conduct.

65. Plaintiff will adequately protect the interests of the Class and has retained counsel

who are experienced in securities class actions. Plaintiff has no interests that conflict with those

of the Class.

66. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient

adjudication of this controversy. Joinder of all Class members is impracticable.

VI. APPLICABILITY OF PRESUMPTION OF RELIANCE: FRAUD-ON-THE-

MARKET DOCTRINE

67. Plaintiff will rely upon the presumption of reliance established by the fraud-on-the-

market doctrine in that, among others:

a. Defendants made public misrepresentations or failed to disclose material

facts during the Class Period;

PAGE 21 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

b. The omissions and misrepresentations were material;

c. The Company's Class B common stock traded on an efficient market;

d. The misrepresentations alleged would tend to induce a reasonable investor

to misjudge the value of the Company's Class B common stock; and

e. Plaintiff and the Class purchased NIKE Class B common stock between the

time the Company and the Individual Defendants misrepresented or failed

to disclose material facts and the time the true facts were disclosed, without

knowledge of the misrepresented or omitted facts.

68. At all relevant times, the market for the Company's Class B common stock was

efficient because: (1) as a regulated issuer, the Company filed periodic public reports with the

SEC; and (2) the Company regularly communicated with public investors using established market

communication mechanisms, including through regular disseminations of press releases on the

major news wire services and through other wide-ranging public disclosures, such as

communications with the financial press, securities analysts, and other similar reporting services.

VII. NO SAFE HARBOR

69. Defendants' "Safe Harbor" warnings accompanying any forward-looking

statements issued during the Class Period were ineffective to shield those statements from liability.

Defendants are liable for any false or misleading forward-looking statements pleaded because, at

the time each forward-looking statement was made, the speaker knew the statement was false or

misleading and the forward-looking statement was authorized and/or approved by an executive

officer of NIKE who knew that the forward-looking statement was false. None of the historic or

present-tense statements made by Defendants were assumptions underlying or relating to any plan,

PAGE 22 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

projection, or statement of future economic performance, as they were not stated to be such

assumptions underlying or relating to any projection or statement of future economic performance

when made, nor were any of the projections or forecasts made by Defendants expressly related to

or stated to be dependent on those historic or present-tense statements when made.

VIII. LOSS CAUSATION/ECONOMIC LOSS

70. Defendants' wrongful conduct directly and proximately caused the economic loss

suffered by Plaintiff and the Class. The price of NIKE Class B common stock significantly

declined when the misrepresentations made to the market, and/or the information alleged herein

to have been concealed from the market, and/or the effects thereof, were revealed, causing

investors' losses. As a result of their purchases of NIKE Class B common stock during the Class

Period, Plaintiff and the Class suffered economic loss, i.e., damages, under the federal securities

laws.

IX. <u>ADDITIONAL SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS</u>

71. During the Class Period, Defendants had both the motive and opportunity to

commit fraud. They also had actual knowledge of the misleading nature of the statements they

made, or acted in reckless disregard of the true information known to them at the time. In so doing,

Defendants participated in a scheme to defraud and committed acts, practices, and participated in

a course of business that operated as a fraud or deceit on purchasers of NIKE Class B common

stock during the Class Period.

PAGE 23 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

X. CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANTS

COUNT I

Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and

SEC Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder

Against All Defendants

72. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs.

73. During the Class Period, Defendants carried out a plan, scheme, and course of

conduct that was intended to and, throughout the Class Period, did: (1) deceive the investing public,

including Plaintiff and the Class; and (2) cause Plaintiff and the Class to purchase NIKE Class B

common stock at artificially inflated prices. In furtherance of this unlawful scheme, plan, and

course of conduct, Defendants, and each of them, took the actions set forth herein.

74. Defendants: (1) employed devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (2) made

untrue statements of material fact and/or omitted material facts necessary to make the statements

not misleading; and (3) engaged in acts, practices, and a course of business which operated as a

fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of NIKE's Class B common stock in an effort to maintain

artificially high market prices thereof in violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and SEC

Rule 10b-5.

75. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and the

Class suffered damages in connection with their respective purchases of NIKE's Class B common

stock during the Class Period.

PAGE 24 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

COUNT II

Violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act

Against the Individual Defendants

76. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs.

77. The Individual Defendants acted as controlling persons of NIKE within the

meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. By virtue of their high-level positions, and their

ownership and contractual rights, participation in and/or awareness of the Company's operations,

and/or intimate knowledge of the false statements filed by the Company with the SEC and

disseminated to the investing public, the Individual Defendants had the power to influence and

control—and did influence and control, directly or indirectly—the decision-making of the

Company, including the content and dissemination of the various false and/or misleading

statements. The Individual Defendants were provided with or had unlimited access to copies of

the Company's reports and other statements alleged by Plaintiff to be misleading prior to and/or

shortly after these statements were issued and had the ability to prevent the issuance of the

statements or cause the statements to be corrected.

78. In particular, each of the Individual Defendants had direct and supervisory

involvement in the day-to-day operations of the Company and, therefore, are presumed to have

had the power to control or influence the activities giving rise to the securities violations as alleged

herein, and exercised the same.

79. As described above, the Company and the Individual Defendants each violated

Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 10b-5 by their acts and omissions as alleged in

this Complaint. By virtue of their positions as controlling persons, the Individual Defendants are

PAGE 25 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

liable under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. As a direct and proximate result of this wrongful

conduct, Plaintiff and other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their

purchases of Company Class B common stock during the Class Period.

XI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

a. Determining that this action is a proper class action under Rule 23 of the

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

b. Awarding compensatory damages and equitable relief in favor of Plaintiff

and other members of the Class against all Defendants, jointly and severally,

for all damages sustained as a result of Defendants' wrongdoing, in an

amount to be proven at trial, including interest thereon;

c. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable costs and expenses

incurred in this action, including counsel fees and expert fees; and

d. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

XII. <u>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</u>

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

Dated this 15th day of July 2024.

STOLL STOLL BERNE LOKTING & SHLACHTER P.C.

By: s/ Timothy S. DeJong

Keith A. Ketterling, OSB No. 913368 Timothy S. DeJong, OSB No. 940662 209 Southwest Oak Street, Suite 500

Portland, OR 97204

Telephone: (503) 227-1600 Facsimile: (503) 227-6840

Email: kketterling@stollberne.com

PAGE 26 - CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

STOLL STOLL BERNE LOKTING & SHLACHTER P.C. 209 S.W. OAK STREET, SUITE 500 PORTLAND, OREGON 97204 TEL. (503) 227-1600 FAX (503) 227-6840

tdejong@stollberne.com

Local Counsel for Plaintiff Yagnesh Mehta

Naumon A. Amjed (pro hac vice forthcoming) Geoffrey C. Jarvis (pro hac vice forthcoming) Joshua S. Keszczyk (pro hac vice forthcoming)

KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK, LLP

280 King of Prussia Road

Radnor, PA 19087

Telephone: (610) 667-7706 Facsimile: (610) 667-7056 Email: namjed@ktmc.com gjarvis@ktmc.com

jkezczyk@ktmc.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Yagnesh Mehta

PAGE 27 – CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION COMPLAINT

CERTIFICATION

- I, Yagnesh Mehta, declare that:
- 1. I have reviewed the facts and allegations of the Class Action Allegation Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Laws and authorize its filing.
- 2. I did not purchase and/or acquire the security that is the subject of this action at the direction of my counsel nor in order to participate in any private action under the federal securities laws.
- 3. I am willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of the class, including giving testimony at deposition and trial, if necessary. I understand that this is not a claim form, and that my ability to share in any recovery as a member of the class is not dependent upon execution of this Certification.
- 4. My Class Period purchase and sale transaction(s) in NIKE, Inc. securities that are the subject of this action are attached in Schedule A. I have complete authority to bring a suit to recover for investment losses for all securities set forth in Schedule A.
- 5. During the three years prior to the date of this Certification, I have not sought to serve nor served as a representative party for a class in an action filed under the federal securities laws.
- 6. I will not accept any payment for serving as a representative party on behalf of the class beyond my pro rata share of any recovery, except such reasonable costs and expenses (including lost wages) directly relating to the representation of the class as ordered or approved by the Court.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on: 7/12/2024	Yagnesh Mehta
	Yagnesh Mehta

SCHEDULE A

Security	Buy/Sell	Date	Quantity	Price
Class B Common Stock	Buy	11/14/2022	7	\$105.07
Class B Common Stock	Dividend Reinvestment	12/28/2022	0.0203	\$117.0803
Class B Common Stock	Dividend Reinvestment	4/3/2023	0.0196	\$122.2388
Class B Common Stock	Dividend Reinvestment	7/5/2023	0.0222	\$107.7559
Class B Common Stock	Buy	7/25/2023	4	\$107.68
Class B Common Stock	*Dividend Reinvestment	10/2/2023	0.0390	\$96.4103
Class B Common Stock	*Dividend Reinvestment	1/2/2024	0.0380	\$108.1579
Class B Common Stock	Dividend Reinvestment	4/1/2024	0.0440	\$93.6364

^{*}Pre-Market Trade

JS 44 (Rev. 03/24)

Case 3:24-cv-01150-ANVIPOCUTY PRINTED 07/15/24 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the nurrose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRU	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE O			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	S	
Yagnesh Mehta Similarly Situate	, Individually and or d	n Behalf of All Othe	ers NIKE, Inc., Joh	nn J. Donahoe II, and M	latthew Friend
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff (Queens County (N	Y) County of Residence	e of First Listed Defendant	
()	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C	•		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	*
			NOTE: IN LAND C THE TRAC	CONDEMNATION CASES, USE TO TOF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numb BERNE LOKTING 8	ber)	Attorneys (If Known))	
Keith A. Ketterlin	ng and Timothy S. D Dak Street, Suite 50	DeJong	7.004		
209 Southwest C Telephone: (503	Jak Street, Suite 50) 227-1600	00, Portland, OR 97	7204		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	•	n One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
1 U.S. Government	× 3 Federal Question		<u></u>	PTF DEF	PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	t Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr of Business In T	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens)	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and I of Business In A	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	[(Place an "X" in One Box (Only)	r oreign country	Click here for: Nature of S	Suit Code Descriptions.
CONTRACT		ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	— ~	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/		INTELLECTUAL	400 State Reapportionment
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		PROPERTY RIGHTS	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability		820 Copyrights 830 Patent	450 Commerce
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal		835 Patent - Abbreviated	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		New Drug Application 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERT		880 Defend Trade Secrets	480 Consumer Credit
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	710 Fair Labor Standards Act	Act of 2016	(15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	720 Labor/Management	SOCIAL SECURITY	Protection Act
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage	Relations	861 HIA (1395ff)	490 Cable/Sat TV
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability	740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical	862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	× 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
	Medical Malpractice	1 Toddet Elability	Leave Act	864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General		or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities		IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of
_	Employment	Other:	462 Naturalization Application	on	Agency Decision
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other	- 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights	er 465 Other Immigration Actions		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition			Siano Sianates
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of			
		Confinement			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	1.6 — 2	D 116 -	-4 D : 1	6 16 — 6 16 17 17	- 0.34.1015.414
1"1 = 1	moved from 3 te Court	Remanded from Appellate Court		ferred from 6 Multidistrict Litigation fy) Transfer	I I
NI CANGE OF ACTIV	15 U.S.C. 88 78i(b) ar	tatute under which you are nd 78t(a); and 17 C.F.R. §	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional st		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of c		nd 20(a) of the Securities Exchang	ge Act of 1934; and SEC Rule 10	b-5
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE	S IS A CLASS ACTION 23, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE Adrienne C	C. Nelson	DOCKET NUMBER 3:2	24-cv-00974-AN
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF RECORD		
07/15/2024		s/ Timothy S. DeJong	l		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related cases, if any. If there are related cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Oregon				
YAGNESH MEHTA, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,))))			
Plaintiff(s)				
v.	Civil Action No. 3:24-cv-01150			
NIKE, INC., JOHN J. DONAHOE II, and MATTHEW FRIEND,))))			
Defendant(s))			
SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) NIKE, Inc. One Bowerman Drive Beaverton, Oregon 97005-6	6453			
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Keith A. Ketterling, OSB No. 913368 Timothy S. DeJong, OSB No. 940662 STOLL STOLL BERNE LOKTING & SHLACHTER, P.C. 209 Southwest Oak Street, Suite 500 Portland, OR 97204				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date:				
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 3:24-cv-01150

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

was re	This summons for (nanceived by me on (date)	ne of individual and title, if any)		
	•	the summons on the individual at	; (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or us	sual place of abode with (name)	
		, a person	of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	ne individual's last known address; or	
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on behal	f of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or
	☐ Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information i	s true.	
Date:				
Date.			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Oregon

District of Oregon			
YAGNESH MEHTA, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,)))		
Plaintiff(s))		
V.	Civil Action No. 3:24-cv-01150		
NIKE, INC., JOHN J. DONAHOE II, and MATTHEW FRIEND,))))		
Defendant(s))		
SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION		
To: (Defendant's name and address) John J. Donahoe II c/o NIKE, Inc. One Bowerman Drive Beaverton, Oregon 970	005-6453		
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Keith A. Ketterling, OSB No. 913368 Timothy S. DeJong, OSB No. 940662 STOLL STOLL BERNE LOKTING & SHLACHTER, P.C. 209 Southwest Oak Street, Suite 500 Portland, OR 97204			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.			
	CLERK OF COURT		
Date:			
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 3:24-cv-01150

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (na	me of individual and title, if any	v)			
was rec	ceived by me on (date)		<u>.</u>			
	☐ I personally served	d the summons on the indi	vidual at (place)			
			on	(date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residen	nce or usual plac	e of abode with (name)		
		,	a person of suita	ble age and discretion who res	sides there,	
	on (date)	, and mailed a c	opy to the indivi	dual's last known address; or		
	☐ I served the summ	ons on (name of individual)			, v	vho is
	designated by law to	accept service of process	on behalf of (nam	ne of organization)		
			on	(date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because				; or
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$		for services, for a total of \$	0.00	
	I declare under penalt	ty of perjury that this infor	rmation is true.			
Diti						
Date:		_		Server's signature		
		_		Printed name and title		
		_		Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Oregon			
YAGNESH MEHTA, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,)))		
Plaintiff(s)	,)		
v.	Civil Action No. 3:24-cv-01150		
NIKE, INC., JOHN J. DONAHOE II, and MATTHEW FRIEND,)))		
Defendant(s)))		
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION		
To: (Defendant's name and address) Matthew Friend c/o NIKE, Inc. One Bowerman Drive Beaverton, Oregon 97005-6	6453		
A lawsuit has been filed against you.			
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Keith A. Ketterling, OSB No. 913368 Timothy S. DeJong, OSB No. 940662 STOLL STOLL BERNE LOKTING & SHLACHTER, P.C. 209 Southwest Oak Street, Suite 500 Portland, OR 97204			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.			
	CLERK OF COURT		
Data			
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 3:24-cv-01150

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

		ne of individual and title, if any)		
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·		
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or u	usual place of abode with (name)	
		, a perso	n of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to	the individual's last known address; or	
		ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on behavior	alf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because		; or
	☐ Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information	is true.	
Date:				
Dute.			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	
			Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: